Appl. No. 09/885,319 Amdt. dated June 2, 2005 Reply to Office Action of May 12, 2005

REMARKS

The Advisory Action of August 15, 2005 noted that claim 55 in the amendment filed June 6, 2005 "is significantly different from previous claim 55 filed June 24, 2004." The Advisory Action similarly noted that claim 56-90 are also significantly different from the previously filed claims 56-90.

Applicants note that claim 54 was inadvertently listed twice, resulting in each of the subsequent claims receiving the wrong claim number (i.e., claim 56 in the June 6, 2005 amendment should have been numbered as claim 55). Applicant has correctly renumbered the claims in this paper.

The remainder of this response is identical to the previously filed response (with the exception of the list of amended claims, which has been corrected) but is being presented for the sake of completeness.

The Office Action of May 12, 2005 requested restriction between two groups of inventions. This amendment cancels claims 91-97 and amends claims 62, 77, 79, 87, and 88. No new matter has been added.

In the Office Action of May 12, 2005, the Examiner requested restriction between

Group I and Group II. Applicants request examination of Group I, comprising claims 54-90.

Applicants cancel claims 91-97. Applicants have also amended claims 62, 77, 79, 87, and 88 to correct minor typographical errors.

CONCLUSION

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

-8-

PALOALTO 56116 (2K)

Appl. No. 09/885,319 Amdt. dated June 2, 2005 Reply to Office Action of May 12, 2005

If there are additional charges concerning this response, please charge to White & Case LLP Deposit Account 23-1703.

A favorable consideration of the present amendment together with the original application is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: August 18, 2005

Thomas V. DelRosario, Reg. No. 46,658

WHITE & CASE LLP

1155 Avenue of the Americas

New York, NY 10036

(650) 213-0345

BEST AVAILABLE COPY